

**DISCIPLINARY PUNISHMENT BY THE MALAYSIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL
AGAINST ERRANT REGISTERED PRACTITIONERS
FROM JANUARY 2022 UNTIL DECEMBER 2022**

1. Dr. Ee Ching Woo (Full Registration No.33196 dated 01/07/1997)

- a. Dr. Ee Ching Woo was charged as he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he had;
 - i. Failed to inform the Complainant of the risks and complications of the polypectomy procedure performed, including a risk of miscarriage, especially in view of the fact that there was an increased risk of miscarriage in the ongoing pregnancy, he failed to obtain informed consent from the Complainant prior to the said procedure thereby contravening Section 3.7 of Malaysian Medical Council's Guidelines on Good Medical Practice read with Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 21st February 2022, found **Ee Ching Woo** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

2. Dr. Mahendran a/l Nagendram (Full Registration No.46611 dated 24/04/2008)

- a. Dr. Mahendram Nagendram was charged with conduct derogatory to the reputation of the profession that he had;
 - i. Failed to pay the Complainant the balance amount due to her for locum services rendered by her to the Respondent's clinic in 2015 and 2016, where the Respondent was the person in charge of the Clinic at the material time thereby contravening Section 3.2.2 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 18th July 2022, found **Mahendran a/l Nagendram** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

3. Dr. Mazlan Bin Mohammad (Full Registration No.30022 dated 01/07/1993)

- a. Dr. Mazlan Bin Mohammad he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he had;
 - i. Failed to exhibit the norms of good clinical practice, thereby failing to provide considerate professional management of the Complainant, contrary to Section 3.1.1 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Guidelines on Good Medical Practice read with Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 22nd March 2022, found **Mazlan Bin Mohammad** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

4. **Dr. Alice Prethima Michael (Full Registration No.29869 dated 07/03/1993)**

- b. Dr. Alice Prethima Michael she had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that she had;
 - i. Performed an invasive surgery on Complainant for which she did not have the appropriate knowledge and skill and had not acquired the necessary certificate and/or certification by Ministry of health, Malaysia as required, and by doing so she acted in contravention of Section 1.1 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 21st June 2022, found **Alice Prethima Michael** guilty and ordered that she be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

5. Dr. Noorulamin bin Abdul Rahman (Full Registration No. 40461 dated 12/02/2003)

- a. Dr. Noorulamin bin Abdul Rahman was charged with advertising and canvassing that by;
- i. Putting up posts on Facebook in 2016, wherein he had advertised his skills for the purpose of promoting his own professional advantage as a medical practitioner, he has acted in a manner contrary to the public interest and discreditable to the profession of medicine, contrary to Section 4.1.1 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - ii. Making derogatory remarks about the practice of medicine in Malaysia in his Facebook posts in 2016, specifically the 3rd, 4th, 13th and 14th Facebook posts, he had procured and/or sanctioned and/or published articles deprecating the professional skills, knowledge and services of other members of the medical profession, for the purpose of promoting your own professional advantage, contrary to Section 4.1.1 of Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
 - iii. Promoting traditional/complementary products through Facebook postings in 2016, claiming these products to be of value in the prevention or treatment of medical conditions, without proper research being carried out to prove the safety and/or efficacy of these products, he has acted contrary to Section 5.10 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Guidelines on the Dissemination of Information by the Medical Profession (MMC Guideline 001/2006)
 - iv. Providing advice on his Facebook page in 2016 as to various methods to be practiced to cure and/or control diabetes and/or chronic kidney disease, he has involved in advertising and canvassing whether directly or indirectly for the purpose of promoting his own professional advantage whilst registered as a medical practitioner, contrary to Part 4.1 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
 - v. Permitting his name and qualification as a medical practitioner to be included in a poster for a seminar on 13 November 2016 pertaining to traditional methods to be practiced to cure and/or control diabetes, he has involved in advertising and canvassing whether directly or indirectly for the purpose of promoting his own professional advantage, contrary to Part 4.1 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.

- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 25th April 2022, found **Noorulamin bin Abdul Rahman** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.

- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

6. **Dr. Lim Thung Kiat (Full Registration No.24297 dated 15/10/1982)**

- a. Dr. Lim Thung Kiat was charged as he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he;
 - i. By failing to assess the pain complained by the patient immediately after the anaesthetic injection to the left eye lid and failed to take all necessary steps to deal with the Patient's condition at the time, he failed to take appropriate and prompt action upon evidence suggesting the existence of a condition requiring urgent medical intervention thereby contravening Section 1.1(d) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
 - ii. By failing to recognize that the anaesthesia procedure performed him on the patient had resulted in a left Central Retinal Artery Occlusion (CRAO), he failed to provide competent and considerate professional management to the patient, thereby contravening Section 1.1 (c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 16th August 2022, found **Lim Thung Kiat** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.

7. **Dr. Keerthi Paramasivam (Full Registration No.75124 dated 30/10/2017)**

- a. Dr. Keerthi Paramasivam was charged as she had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he;
 - i. By producing a false or fraudulent certificate of registration with the UK GMC and/or false or fraudulent transcript from Dr NTR University of Health Sciences, in support of your application for full registration with the Malaysian Medical Council, and subsequently obtaining full registration with the Malaysian Medical Council, she thereby contravened Section 29 (2)(c) of the Medical Act 1971.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 16th September 2022, found **Keerthi Paramasivam** guilty and ordered that she be **STRUCK OFF** under Section 30(i) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent appeal to the High Court.
- d. The Court allowed MMC's application in Enclosure 8, and struck out the appeal with costs of RM1,000.00. The Court also dismissed the Appellant's application in Enclosure 5 with costs of RM500.00

8. Dr. Roseliza binti Haji Muhamad (Full Registration No. 28260 dated 01/08/1990)

- a. Dr. Roseliza binti Haji Muhamad was charged as she had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he;
 - i. By failing to exercise due care in carrying out delivery of the baby by vacuum extraction, resulting in the patient suffering multiple vaginal wall tears causing massive blood loss, DIVC state and post cardiac arrest, leading to the patient's death, she failed to provide competent and considerate professional management thereby contravening Section 1.1(c) of the Code of Professional Conduct.
 - ii. by failing to take adequate preparatory measures prior to delivery by vacuum extraction where the patient had been put on prolonged labor augmentation medication, and had been administered pethidine, and in a weakened state with poor maternal effort for normal delivery, resulting in the patient being left unattended by a doctor post-delivery while you attended to the baby who had been born flat, not crying, blue on delivery and with poor Apgar score, she failed to provide competent and considerate professional management thereby the patient suffering massive blood loss, thereby contravening Section 1.1(c) of the Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 18th July 2022, found **Roseliza binti Haji Muhamad** guilty and ordered that she be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.