

**DISCIPLINARY PUNISHMENT BY THE MALAYSIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL
AGAINST ERRANT REGISTERED PRACTITIONERS
2023**

1. **Dr. Muhammad Redzwan bin S Rashid Ali (Full Registration No.44626 dated 01/07/2006)**
 - a. Dr. Muhammad Redzwan bin S Rashid Ali was charged as he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient that he had;
 - i. Failed to take the history of the patient who was brought to the KPJ Johor Specialist Hospital at approximately 1am, on 28.12.2016 for breathing difficulty and abdominal pain, upon referral of the patient to you by the resident medical officer, he failed to carry out a conscientious assessment of the history, symptoms and signs of the patient's conditions, thereby contravening Section 1.1(a) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
 - b. The Council, during its inquiry on 11th December 2023, found **Muhammad Redzwan bin S Rashid Ali** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
 - c. The respondent appeal to the High Court.

2. Dr. Lim Kiang Wooi (Full Registration No.44243 dated 01/02/2007)

- a. Dr. Lim Kiang Wooi was charged as he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility in the standard of medical care to the patient;
 - i. By setting a branula needle in the hand of the patient and giving the patient unlabeled syringes with medications with instructions to self-inject the medication from the unlabeled syringes at home when the patient sought treatment from you on 9.8.2015 at Northern Care Clinic Sdn Bhd No.4-G, Lebuah Sungai Pinang 1, Bandar Sri Pinang, 11600 Jelutong Pulau Pinang, he failed to provide the patient with competent and considerate professional management of his condition and thereby contravening Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct ; and
 - ii. By failing to refer the patient who was a diabetic patient and presented with a diabetic foot ulcer, to a colleague for more specialized consultation and treatment of his condition, he failed to exercise readiness where the circumstances so warranted, thereby contravening Section 1.1 (e) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 11th December 2023, found **Lim Kiang Wooi** guilty and ordered that he be **SUSPENDED FROM REGISTER FOR A PERIOD OF SIX MONTHS** under Section 30(ii) of the Medical Act (FOR Charge 1 under Part 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct) and to be **REPRIMANDED** (for charge 2 under Part 1.1(e) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct) under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The respondent appeal to the High Court.

3. **Dr. Mohammad B Abdul Rahman (Full Registration No.26391 dated 16/07/1986)**

- a. Dr. Mohammad B Abdul Rahman was charged with infamous conduct in a professional respect in that he had;
 - i. Issued a document purporting to be an analysis or report dated 11.11.2016 regarding the mental health status of the complainant without having examined the complainant he had signed under his name and authority a report or document which is misleading and/or improper, contrary to Section 2.1.4 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct ; and
 - ii. Issued a document purporting to be an analysis or report dated 11.11.2016 regarding the complainant containing allegations and/or comments about the complainant's purported personal behavior, without any first hand knowledge of the same and/or without having verified the information contained therein with the complainant prior to issuance of the document, he had signed under his name and authority a report or document which is misleading and/or improper, contrary to Section 2.1.4 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 21st August 2023, found **Mohammad B Abdul Rahman** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(iii) of the Medical Act.
- c. The respondent did not appeal to the High Court.