

DISCIPLINARY PUNISHMENT BY THE MALAYSIAN MEDICAL COUNCIL AGAINST ERRANT REGISTERED PRACTITIONERS FROM JANUARY 2024 UNTIL DECEMBER 2024

1. Dr. Lim Eng Seng (Full Registration No.30377 dated 21/02/2000)

- a. Dr. Lim Eng Seng was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to have the patient who was having wheezing before, intra and at the end of the Colonic Stent procedure (the "Procedure") carried out on the Patient on 25.1.2022 transferred to the intensive care or high dependency unit of the Hospital for close monitoring after the Procedure, he had failed to provide competent and considerate professional management of the Patient's condition, thereby neglecting or disregarding his professional responsibility to the Patient in contravention of Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 23rd April 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Lim Eng Seng guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



2. Dr. Heong Loo Yaw (Full Registration No. 30458 dated 01/07/1993)

- a. Dr. Heong Loo Yaw was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to disclosed the patient and/or patient's husband on 9.7.2022 that the Cardiotocography ("CTG") tracing carried on the patient that day based on the patient's complaint of reduced fetal activity had yielded suspicious findings and unilaterally deciding to proceed with normal delivery of the patient's baby, he had failed to provide acceptable standard of care to the patient by failing to give patient relevant options for the delivery of patient's baby and informing patient of the related limitations and possible complications, thereby disregarding or neglecting his professional duties to the patient contravening Section 3.1.7.6 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice Guidelines.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 23rd April 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Heong Loo Yaw guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



3. Dr. Chua Lee Teng (Full Registration No. 49139 dated 05/08/2010)

- a. Dr. Chua Lee Teng was charged as follows:
 - i. by turning down and refusing to treat the patient, who sought her medical attention when the patient was experiencing a condition that required urgent medical attention, thereby failed to provide appropriate and prompt action upon evidence suggesting the existence of a condition requiring urgent medical intervention, thereby contravening Section 1.1(d) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 23rd April 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Chua Lee Teng guilty and ordered that she be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



4. Dr. Rajalakshmi a/p Rajamogan (Provisional Registration No. 47203 dated 5/3/2012)

- a. Dr. Rajalakshmi a/p Rajamogan was charged as follows:
 - i. by posting comments on Klinik Medic One's Facebook page containing personal information about the Complainant without obtaining her written consent, she had failed to ensure that such confidential information obtained from the complainant was effectively protected against improper disclosure, thereby contravened Section 1.5 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - ii. by disclosing the Complainant's personal information on social media accessible by the public, she had failed to preserve patient privacy and confidentiality, which the complainant was entitled to expect as a patient, thereby contravened Section 3.2.6 of Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 23rd April 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found **Rajalakshmi a/p Rajamogan** guilty and ordered that she be **SUSPENDED** for a period of two (2) years, from 6th September 2024 until 5th September 2026, under Section 30(1)(c) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court



5. Dr. Sabryne bt Mohd Zeidi (Full Registration No. 105237 dated 17/10/2023)

- a. Dr. Sabryne bt Mohd Zeidi was charged as follows:
 - i. by posting comments on her Twitter account containing personal information about her patient, without obtaining the patient's written consent, thereby she had breached the hallowed undertaking not to disclose the patient medical condition to others not entitled to the information, contravening to Section 1.5 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - ii. by abusing the confidence of the patient when she had improperly exposed the patient's HIV positive status and his sexual orientation in direct violation of your promise to the patient not to reveal such information to anyone, thereby contravening Section 2.2.2 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct; and
 - iii. by disclosing the patient's personal information on social media accessible by the public, she had failed to preserve patient privacy and confidentiality, thereby contravening Section 3.2.6 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 21st May 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Sabryne bt Mohd Zeidi guilty and ordered that she be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



6. Dr. Yap Boon Hung (Full Registration No. 27613 dated 19/6/1989)

- a. Dr. Yap Boon Hung was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to properly advise the patient/Complainant about the investigation options, benefits and/or material risks of the proposed Bronchoscopy procedure before carrying out the procedure on her on 22.8.2019, he had failed to obtain a valid consent from the Complainant, thereby contravening Section 3(read together with Section 8) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Guidelines on Consent for Treatment of Patients by Registered Medical Practitioners.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 21st May 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Yap Boon Hung guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



7. Dr. Aripuddin B Hj. Nurunz (Full Registration No. 31276 dated 27/6/1994)

- a. Dr. Aripuddin B. Hj. Nurunz was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to refer the deceased to, or consult a hospital or an appropriate professional colleague after the deceased's third visit to his clinic, despite evidence indicating that the deceased was in an unstable condition, he had failed to show readiness, where the circumstances are so warrant, to consult appropriate professional colleagues, thereby contravened Section 1.1(e) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 25th June 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found **Aripuddin B Hj. Nurunz** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



8. Dr. Raj Kumar a/I Notaney Kartar Harumal (Full Registration No. 29332 dated 1/5/1992)

- a. Dr. Raj Kumar a/l Notaney Kartar Harumal was charged as follows:
 - i. by refusing and/or failing to provide to patient on his request, a report on the medical examination carried out on the patient, he had abused his professional privileges and skills in contravention of Section 4.7.10 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice Guidelines.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 29th August 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Raj Kumar a/l Notaney Kartar Harumal guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



9. Dr. Ravi a/I Akambaram (Full Registration No. 30743 dated 21/07/1994)

- a. Dr. Ravi a/I Akambaram was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to arrange for timely transfer of the patient who was bleeding post vaginal delivery and manual removal of placenta from approximately 11.20am up to her eventual transfer to Hospital Tengku Ampuan Rahimah and thus requiring urgent medical intervention, to an adequately equipped medical facility to manage patient's condition, he had neglected or disregarded his professional responsibility to the patient and thereby contravened Section 1.1(d) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct; and
 - ii. by providing the medical report of the patient to the complainant only on/or around 23.07.2021, approximately 10 months after the Complainant's request dated 17.9.2020, he had failed to provide the medical report to the Complainant within a reasonable time, thereby contravening Section 2.4 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice Guidelines.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 29th August 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found **Ravi a/I Akambaram** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



10. Dr. Kamaruddin B Ahmad (Full Registration No. 21099 dated 9/5/1974)

- a. Dr. Kamaruddin B Ahmad was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to carry out appropriate tests and investigations in a timely manner to determine the cause of the first Patient's persistent fever and breathing difficulties from 29.6.2019 where the patient had not responded to multiple changes of antibiotics, he had failed to provide sufficiently thorough professional attention to the patient and carry out necessary examinations and diagnostic investigations, thereby contravened Section 1.1(b) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct ;
 - ii. by failing to take appropriate and prompt action to consult and/or refer the first patient to a governmental or tertiary hospital for appropriate management of the patient's condition in circumstances where his condition was progressively deteriorating since his admission to the Hospital on 29.6.2019 leading to acute respiratory distress and his demise on 11 July 2019,he had neglected or disregarded his professional duties to the patient in contravention of Section 1.1(e) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - iii. by failing to attend to examine the second patient upon his admission to the hospital on 8.10.2019 where the patient had presented with fever for 1 week, associated with shortness of breath, positive for Influenza A, unable to tolerate orally, vomiting and diarrhoea, he had failed to carry out conscientious assessment of the history, symptoms and signs of the patient's condition, he had neglected or disregarded his professional duties to the patient in contravention of Section 1.1(a) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - iv. by failing to attend and carry out appropriate and effective assessment of the second patient's condition on 9.10.2019 and only giving instructions for the patient's management via telephone despite his worsening condition and the patient's parents requests for the patient to be examined by the doctor, until the patient was transferred to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) and Code Blue activated on 10.10.2019, he had failed to provide competent and considerate professional management to the patient thereby neglecting or disregarding his professional duties to the patients in contravention of Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - v. by failing to take prompt and timely action for the appropriate management of the second patient's condition and/or to refer him to a governmental or tertiary hospital for his management in circumstances where the patient's condition was rapidly deteriorating since his admission to the Hospital on 08.10.2019, he had failed to take appropriate and prompt action and provide urgent medical attention to the patient in circumstances suggesting the existence of a condition requiring urgent medical intervention, thereby neglecting or disregarding his professional duties to the patients in



contravention of Section 1.1(d) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.

- b. The Council, during its meeting on 29th August 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Kamaruddin B Ahmad guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



11. Dr. Zaidi B. Zakaria (Full Registration No. 32140 dated 16/6/1996)

- a. Dr. Zaidi B. Zakaria was charged as follows:
 - i. he had failed to provide competent and considerate professional management of the patient by admittedly entering a wrong dosage of PCM into the Patient's Medication Chart and the overdose of PCM medication caused the Patient's condition to deteriorate and become life-threatening. As a result, the patient underwent numerous medical procedures including but not limited to dialysis, blood transfusion and intubation, leaving him with lots of physical side effects to date and the need to have regular medical follow-up checks. Therefore, Dr, Zaidi b. Zakaria had acted in contravention of Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its inquiry on 24th September 2024, found Zaidi B. Zakaria guilty and ordered that he be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



12.Dr. Gurdeep Singh a/l Narain Singh (Full Registration No. 40722 dated 21/08/2004)

- a. Dr. Gurdeep Singh a/l Narain Singh was charged as follows:
 - i. by sending to his Patient at the time and in a vulnerable emotional and mental state, text messages of a sexual nature, he had engaged the patient in an emotional and sexual relationship with him in contravention of Section 2.2.4 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 22nd October 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Gurdeep Singh a/l Narain Singh guilty and ordered that he be SUSPENDED for a period of one (1) year, from 18th December 2024 until 17th December 2025 under Section 30(1)(c) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



13.Dr. Sarveswara a/l Mai Chrishnan (Full Registration No. 48550 dated 16/01/2010)

- a. Dr. Sarveswara a/l Mai Chrishnan was charged as follows:
 - i. by disclosing without the complainant's consent, the complainant's Specialist Assessment Report dated 8.8.2022 in Dr. Sarveswara's affidavit dated 21.03.2023 in which he hold himself out as a medical doctor and filed in Kuala Lumpur High Court to oppose the Complainant's application for custody and/or access to his children, he had abused his professional privileges and made improper disclosure of information obtained in confidence from the Complainant, thereby contravening Section 2.2.2 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct;
 - ii. by telling or suggesting, on or about 18.11.2022 to the complainant who was in a vulnerable mental and/or emotional state, that his children would become autistic if he attempts to see and/or reconcile with his estranged children, he had taken advantage of the Complainant's predicament or plight to further his interests in preventing the Complainant from reconciling with his wife and children, thereby contravening Section 3.1.7.19 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice guidelines.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 19th November 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found **Sarveswara a/I Mai Chrishnan** guilty and ordered that he be **REPRIMANDED** under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.



14. Dr. Lily Fariza binti Karim (Full Registration No. 31224 dated 22/02/2000)

- a. Dr. Lily Fariza binti Karim was charged as follows:
 - i. by failing to explain to the Complainant of the material risks and the possible complications of the elective caesarean procedure during the consultation with the Complainant on 20.05.2022, particularly having diagnosed the Complainant with oligohydramnios, and failing to ensure the complainant understood the same, she had failed to obtain informed consent from the Complainant for the procedure, contrary to Section 3.7 of the Malaysian Medical Council's Good Medical Practice Guidelines read with Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct; and
 - ii. by permitting consent of the Complainant to be taken by a hospital staff, without personally providing any or any adequate explanation to the Complainant, and/or her spouse regarding the nature of the elective caesarean procedure to be carried out on the 25.05.2022 and the material risks and the possible complications of the procedure, she had neglected and/or disregarded her professional responsibilities, and thereby she had failed to provide competent and considerate professional management of the Complainant, contrary to Section 1.1(c) of the Malaysian Medical Council's Code of Professional Conduct.
- b. The Council, during its meeting on 19th November 2024 upon considering the records of the inquiry and recommendation of the Disciplinary Board, found Lily Fariza binti Karim guilty and ordered that she be REPRIMANDED under Section 30(1)(a) of the Medical Act 1971.
- c. The medical practitioner did not appeal to the High Court.